## REMARKS

In this Response the applicant amends claims 6, 8, and 11, and cancels claims 1-5 and 10 without prejudice to further prosecution. Accordingly, claims 6-9 and 11 are pending in this application.

In the office action, the Examiner objects to the drawings, finding a feature of claim 5 not shown in the drawings. The specific limitation identified by the Examiner is in claim 4, so the applicant assumes that the drawing objection is in regard to claim 4 instead of claim 5. Since applicant has canceled claim 4, and the subject matter of claim 4 is not included in any of the rewritten claims, the applicant submits that this objection is moot.

In the office action, the Examiner rejects claims 1-5 and 10. These rejections are most as the applicant has cancelled these claims.

The Examiner indicates that claims 6-9 and 11 are allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Accordingly, applicant has rewritten claims 6, 8, and 11 into independent form. Since claim 7 depends from claim 6, and claim 9 depends from claim 8, claims 7 and 9 remain as originally filed.

## **CONCLUSION**

Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 6-9 and 11 are now in a condition for allowance. If the Examiner would find it useful, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

spectfully/submitted,

William J. Kolegraff

Reg. No. 41,125 3119 Turnberry Way

Jamul, CA 91935

Office:

619-401-8008

Fax:

619-401-0808